

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,008		12/31/2003	Maria Theresa Barnes Leon	384818045US1	5533	
25096	7590	08/02/2005		EXAMINER		
PERKIN	IS COIE	LLP	RHODE JR, ROBERT E			
PATENT P.O. BOX			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE			
		98111-1247	3625			
				DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summer	10/751,008	3	BARNES LEON ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Rob Rhode		3625	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>08 May 2005</i> .								
2a) <u></u> ⊤	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 21	o)⊠ This action is no	n-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	n of Claims								
4a 5)	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4 - 10 and 12-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,11 and 17-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1, 2, 4 - 10 and 12-16 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	n Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
· ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attaches									
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice (3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)				

Art Unit: 3625

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

Applicant's election without traverse of the Restriction Requirement in the reply filed on 5-08-2005 is acknowledged.

Currently, Claims 1, 2, 4-10 and 12 - 16 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rappoport (US 6,828,963 B1) in view of Official Notice.

Regarding claim 1 and related claim 9, Rapport teaches a method and medium in a computing system for managing products, the method comprising: extracting product management information in a first form that is associated with a first source computerized product management system; converting the product management information in the first form into product management information that is in a second intermediate form; and converting the product management information in the second intermediate form into product management information in a target form that

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/751,008

Art Unit: 3625

corresponds to a target computerized product management system (see at least Abstract, Col 4, lines 63 – 67, Col 5, lines 1 – 11 and Figure 4). Please note that phrase(s) "product management" is considered to be non-functional descriptive material and thereby is given little patentable weight (MPEP 2106). The phrase(s) and or word(s) are given little patentable weight because the claim language limitation is considered to be non-functional descriptive material, which does not patentably distinguish the applicant's invention from Rappoport, which achieves the same results of the recited method. Thereby, the non-fictional descriptive material (i.e. descriptive material) is directed only to the description of the information and medium and does not affect either the structure or method/process of Rappoport, which leaves the method and system unchanged.

While Rappoport does disclose CAD models and associated information systems, which are synonymous with a product and product information systems, the references does not specifically disclose product management system.

On the other hand and the Examine takes Official Notice, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art have extended the method and medium of Rappoport with a naming convention of product management system. Rappoport discloses the claim limitations recited in claim 1. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in art would have been motivated to extend the method and medium of Rappoport with the naming convention

Art Unit: 3625

to product management and thereby enhance the marketing message, which will allow the application of the solution in other areas.

Regarding claim 2 and related claim 10, Rappoport teaches a method and medium, further comprising: using the product management information in the target form to perform at least one computer-implemented act from a set of computer-implemented acts comprising: creating a new product record in the target computerized product management system; and updating an existing product management record in the target computerized product management system (Col 5, lines 36 – 46).

Claims 4 – 10 and 12 - 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rappoport as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of "PTC: Siebel Systems and PTC create strategic alliance to leverage enriched information across product development, sales and service; Combination of Siebel eBusiness Applications and PTC Collaborative Product Development solutions to deliver competitive advantage"; M2 Presswire; Coventry; Jan 24, 2002 and hereafter referred to as "PTC".

Rappoport substantially discloses and teaches the applicant's invention.

Regarding claim 4 and related claim 12, PTC teaches a method and medium, wherein the second intermediate form includes a list of product elements for defining a hierarchy

Art Unit: 3625

of data elements, wherein the hierarchy of data elements includes a plurality of product elements which include other elements (See at least Page 1 and Page 2, Para 4 - 5).

Regarding claim 5 and related claim 13, PTC teaches a method and medium, wherein each of the plurality of product elements includes one or more elements selected from a group comprising: a product identifier; a product base data element; a product sales data element a product configuration data element; a related product line element for defining a product line element; a product list of price type element for defining a plurality of product price type elements; a product list of related inventory location element for defining a plurality of product related inventory location elements for the product; a list of related product element for defining a plurality of related product elements; a product list of related business unit element for defining a product related business unit element; and a product custom data element (Page 2, Para 4-6). Please note that these product elements are and corresponding elements are considered to be stored data. In that regard, the stored data including product elements such as product identifier is considered to be non-functional descriptive material (MPEP 2106). The phrase(s) and or word(s) are given little patentable weight because the claim language limitation is considered to be non-functional descriptive material, which does not patentably distinguish the applicant's invention from Rappoport, which achieves the same results of the recited method. Thereby, the non-fictional descriptive material is types of stored data (i.e. product identifier –which is stored data) is directed only to the

Art Unit: 3625

description of the stored information and does not affect either the structure or method/process of Rappoport, which leaves the method and system unchanged.

Regarding claim 6 and related claim 14, PTC teaches a method, wherein the product base data element includes one or more elements selected from a group comprising: a product category code element; a product description element; an product effective end date element for defining a product's effective validity end date; an product effective start date element for defining a product's effective validity start date; a global product identifier element; a global product classification code element; a global product serial identifier; a global product special handling code element; a product name element; a product number element; a product part number element; a product status code element; a product type code element; a product unit of measure code element; and a product vendor part serial number element (Page 1 and Page 2, Para 4 – 6). Please note that PTC does not specifically disclose a product description element. However, PTC does disclose PTC's product development and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included these data elements such as product description and thereby one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to extend the method and medium of PTC with data element such as product description.

Regarding claim 7 and related claim 15, PTC teaches a method medium, wherein the product sales data element includes one or more elements selected from a group comprising: a product field replaceable flag; a product lead time element; a product

Art Unit: 3625

maximum order quantity element; a product minimum order quantity element; a product revision number element; a product orderable flag; a sales product flag; a service product flag; a service instance flag; and a serialized product flag. Please notes that PTC does not specifically disclose sales data element such as a sales product flag. However, PTC does disclose CRM provider Siebel, which it is well known that it would have provided this data element. Therefore, it would have been obvious to extend the method and medium of PTC with Sales based information system to have provided the capability to include a sales data element such as sales product flag.

Regarding claim 8 and related claim 16, PTC teaches method and medium, wherein the product configuration data element includes a product configuration flag and a product related class element that is specific to a product; the product line element includes a product line identifier, a product line base data element and a product line custom data element, wherein the product line base data element includes: a product line description element; and a product line name element; each of the plurality of product price type elements includes a product price type code element; each of the plurality of product related inventory location elements for the product includes a product inventory location identifier and a product inventory data element for the product, wherein the product inventory data element for the product includes one or more elements selected from: a product inventory location classification data element, wherein the product inventory location classification data element; and a product value class code element; and a product inventory location stocking data element, wherein the product inventory location

Art Unit: 3625

stocking data element includes one or more elements selected from: a product allocate below safety stock level flag; a product auto substitute flag; a product auto allocate flag; a product maximum inventory level element; a product minimum inventory level element; and a product safety stock level element; each of the plurality of related product elements includes one or more elements selected from: a related product identifier; a related product name element; a related product number element; and a related product type code element; and the product related business unit element includes a product organization identifier and a product business unit data element, wherein the product business unit data element includes a product sales unit of measure code element (Pages 1 and 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to have provided the method and medium of Rappoport with the method and medium of PTC to have provided the claim limitations as recited in claims 4 – 8 above. Rappoport discs Leos the applicant's invention as recited in claim 1. PTC discloses and teaches one of ordinary skill in the art the limitations as recited in claims 4 – 8. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to extend the method and system of Rappoport wit the limitations including product elements such as product sales data and associated elements. In this manner as with any product data base storing and element linkage, corresponding and required elements are stored and can be retrieved in the correct linkage to assure timely and accurate product data element are presented to a user's qurey.

Art Unit: 3625

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Rob Rhode** whose telephone number is **571.272.6761**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Wynn Coggins** can be reached on **571.272.7159**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

571.273.8300

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

For general questions the receptionist can be reached at

571.272.3600

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). RER

rimary Examiner